



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,524	06/04/2001	Johan Lammens	60019892Z140	5218	
7590 , 11/15/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-P.	ACKARD COMPANY	GRANT II, JEROME			
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599			2626		
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/874,524	LAMMENS ET AL.		
	Examiner	A -4 11-54		
	Exammen	Art Unit		
	Jerome Grant II	2626		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome Grant II	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS	had being to the character of the	F . 20 44 4 14			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3-10,12 and 14-24. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,11 and 13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper (No(s)	zı.		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/874,524

Art Unit: 2626

Supplement to the Advisory

At the top of page 18, applicant states that Washio does not teach the initial data as claimed. The initial input as referred to in claim 1 is broadly written so that inputs recited are derived from "initial RGB values". There is nothing in the claim which would preclude this initial input as being input from an RGB value.

Applicant further argues that "initial" as claimed is supported in the specification as being prepared by a human. However, the claim is broadly written to include other types of initial representations other than that specifically supported in the specification. If such a limitation of being prepared by a human is significant it appears that it should have been claimed.

In the middle of page 18, applicant states that:

"Because this aspect of the invention in its broadest form thus refrains from tampering with decisions of such personnel, this invention resolves a previously discussed problem of the prior art."

This statement seems to suggest that the present invention wants to have initial and adjusted values. From experience, this technique is well established in the art. That is to say, there is an input image which is stored and image correction parameters can be used on the input image. A before and after of the images are visualized on a screen.

Art Unit: 2626

Hence, the original is not tampered. This art is found in color pre-viewers in class 358 subclass 527.

On page 19, with respect to the arguments presented at page 19, applicant's arguments are persuasive and the rejection of theses claims has been withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 571-272-7463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams, can be reached on 571-272-7463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Grant /

JEROME GRANT II PRIMARY EXAMINER